

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,755	09/17/2001	Henri Jacques Suermondt	10007909	8507
7590 08/18/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HIRL, JOSEPH P	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2121	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/954,755	SUERMONDT ET AL.			
navicory notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	Supervisory Pate Group 3	ent Examiner			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. The response has been fully considered but is not persuasive. The proposed amendment adds a first, second, third and fourth indication. These limitations have not been searched since this is the first time applicant has presented these limitations and they are broader than the currently prending claims..

